

ENGAGE Enabling Girls to Advance Gender Equity

Laura Hinson, MPH PhD

Mara Steinhaus, MSPH

January 22, 2017



Overview of ENGAGE

 Goal: To empower girls and civil society organizations (CSOs) to shift norms and attitudes around child marriage and increase gender equality, with ultimate goal of reducing child marriage and other harmful practices.



Empower girls:

train girls to form and lead clubs



Empower CSOs:

Build CSO capacity to engage with stakeholders





GIRLS EMPOWERMENT NETWORK MALAWI

Advancing the rights, status and well-being of girls







TA Chiwalo



PHALOMBE DISTRICT

TA Nazombe

4

TA Mchiramwera

2

THYOLO DISTRICT

TA Changata

4

3

SOUTHERN MALAWI

Focus of baseline survey

- Quantitative survey with 1492 decision-makers of girls ages 10-17
- Questions focused on:
 - Demographic data
 - Child marriage (norms, attitudes, knowledge of laws)
 - Gender norms
 - Attitudes toward adolescent SRH
 - Girls' education
 - Harmful traditional practices
 - Decision-making for girls 10-17



Source of norms measures

CARE's Social Norms Analysis Plot (SNAP) Framework

COMPONENTS Of a norm	DEFINITION
Empirical Expectations (EE)	What I think others do
Normative Expectations (NE)	What I think others expect me to do (what I should do according to others)
Sanctions	Anticipated opinion or reaction of others (to the behavior) – specifically others whose opinions matter to me



Please see CARE's full paper, Applying Theory to Practice: CARE's Journey Piloting Social Norms Measures for Gender Programming, here: http://gender.care2share.wikispaces.net/file/view/care-social-norms-paper-web.pdf

Is child marriage a social norm?



Empirical expectations - Almost nine in ten adult decision-makers (89%) agreed that most girls in their community marry before the age of 18.



Normative expectations - Adult decision-makers were nearly evenly split on whether they agreed that "Most people in this community expect girls to marry before the age of 18."



Sanctions – Just one in three adult decision-makers (36%) agreed with the statement "If I don't ensure my daughters and/or nieces are married early, my family will not be respected in the community."



How do we interpret this finding?

Alternative explanations for child marriage in these communities (**prudential reasons**):

- 87% say may happen because of pregnancy
- 77% say mostly happens because of lack of education and job opportunities
- 57% say sometimes happens for financial reasons
- 44% say may happen to resolve family disputes



How do we interpret this finding?

Attitudes that may indirectly result in child marriage:

- 93% agree that unmarried girls who get pregnant are "naughty"
- 80% agree that giving unmarried girls access to contraceptives makes them promiscuous
- 38% agree that unmarried adolescent girls should have access to contraception



Implications

For programming

- Acknowledge and build upon the existing sensitization and opposition to child marriage
- Increase knowledge of laws as leverage
- Increase emphasis on the portions of the girls' curriculum that address attitudes towards adolescent sexuality and access to contraception
- Focus the CSO capacity-building interventions on addressing prudential reasons for child marriage



Implications

For measurement and research

- Supplement quantitative research with qualitative vignettes to increase explanatory power
- Always include measures of prudential reasons
- Consider more advanced quantitative techniques for reducing social desirability bias



